1	JAMES A. ORONOZ, ESQ.						
2	Nevada Bar No. 6769 ORONOZ & ERICSSON LLC						
3	9900 Covington Cross Drive, Suite 290 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: (702) 878-2889 Facsimile: (702) 522-1542 jim@oronozlawyers.com						
4							
5							
6	Attorney for I	Mario Abrego					
7		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA						
9)				
10	UNITED ST	ATES OF AMERICA,))				
11	Plaintiff,) CASE NO: 2:18-cr-00223-APG-DJA				
12		vs.	STIPULATION TO CONTINUESENTENCING				
13	 MARIO ABI)				
14	Defendant.) (First Request)				
15							
16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by Defendant MARIO ABREGO, by						
17	and through his attorney, JAMES A. ORONOZ, ESQ., the United States of America, by and						
18	through MEL	through MELANEE SMITH, ESQ., and KIMBERLY SOKOLICH, ESQ., Assistant United					
19	States Attorn	States Attorneys, that the sentencing hearing currently scheduled for August 31, 2022, at the					
20	hour of 1:30	hour of 1:30 p.m., be vacated and continued for at least ninety (90) days past the current setting					
21	to a date and time that is convenient to this Honorable Court. The request for a continuance is						
22	based upon the following:						
23	1.	1					
24		received in this matter, as well as meet and confer with their client.					
25	2.						
26	and Mr. Abrego does not oppose the continuance.						
27	3. Defense Counsel for Mario Abrego has spoken to Attorney Kimberly Sokolich, and the Government						
28		continuance.	and the covernment has no objection to the				

Case 2:18-cr-00223-APG-DJA Document 94 Filed 08/09/22 Page 2 of 4

1 2	4.	4. The additional time requested by this Stipulation to Continue Sentencing is reasonable pursuant to Fed.R.Crim.P. Rule 32(b)(2), which states that the "court may, for good cause, change any time limits prescribed in this rule."				
3	5.		sted herein is not sought for purposes of delay.			
4		•				
5	6.	Additionally, denial of miscarriage of justice.	this request for continuance could result in a			
6	Dated: August 8, 2022 Respectfully submitted,					
7						
8		- 				
9	/s/ James A. (Oronoz	/s/ Kimberly Sokolich			
10	James A. Oro	onoz, Esq.	Kimberly Sokolich, Esq.			
11		ton Cross Drive, Suite 290	Assistant United States Attorney Melanee Smith			
12	Las Vegas, N		Assistant United States Attorney			
13	Attorney for I	viario Abrego	501 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101			
14			Attorneys for the United States of America			
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						

1	JAMES A. ORONOZ, ESQ.						
2	Nevada Bar No. 6769 ORONOZ & ERICSSON LLC						
3	9900 Covington Cross Drive, Suite 290						
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89144						
	Telephone: (702) 878-2889 Facsimile: (702) 522-1542 jim@oronozlawyers.com						
5							
6	Attorney for Mario Abrego						
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA						
9)				
10	UNITED STA	ATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO: 2:18-cr-00223-APG-DJA				
11		Plaintiff,					
12	vs.) FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS				
13	MARIO ABREGO OF LAW AND ORDER						
14		Defendant.))				
15)				
16	Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing, therefore, the						
17	Court finds:						
18	1.	Counsel requires additional time	to review and analyze all mitigation materials				
19	1.	•	s meet and confer with their client.				
20	2.	Defense Counsel for Mario Abrego has spoken to his client, who is in custody,					
21		and Mr. Abrego does not oppose the continuance.					
22	3.	e i					
23	Attorney Kimberly Sokolich, and the Government has no objection to the continuance.						
24	4. The additional time requested by this Stipulation to Continue Sentencing is						
25		reasonable pursuant to Fed.R.Crim.P. Rule 32(b)(2), which states that the "court may, for good cause, change any time limits prescribed in this rule."					
26							
27	5.	The additional time requested he	erein is not sought for purposes of delay.				
28	6. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.						

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Denial of this request for continuance would deny the parties herein the opportunity to prepare for Sentencing Hearing effectively and thoroughly.

Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the sentencing hearing currently scheduled for August 31, 2022, at the hour of 1:30 p.m. be vacated and continued to the <u>29th</u> day of <u>November</u>, 20<u>22</u>, at the hour of <u>1:30 p.m.</u>.

DATED AND DONE this 9th day of August, 2022.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE